Radiative and convective heat transfer in microelectronics

by B. Więcek¹, H. Madura²

¹ Institute of Electronics, Technical University of Łódź, 18-22 Stefanowskiego str. 90-924 Łódź, Poland, ² Institute of Optoelectronics, Military Academy of Technology, 2 Kaliski str. 01-489 Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

This paper presents numerical and experimental results of heat transfer by radiation, convection and conduction in hybrid microelectronic circuits. We chose a heat source with a non-uniform temperature distribution, which agrees with typical cases frequently met in electronics. In this work we evaluate a complex heat transfer coefficient including the non-linear phenomenon for radiative and convective heat dissipation. We apply thermography to confirm the correctness of the simulation.

1. Introduction

4

It has already been underlined by many authors that in order to evaluate more precisely the temperature in microelectronic devices, one should include convection and radiation into the modelling of heat transfer [1-5]. In many previous works, convection was simply approximated by transfer coefficient α , taken from the tables and being typically constant over the temperature range. Because of a multidimensional nature of convection and the non-linear characteristics for both the convection and the radiation, there are not many works which include these phenomenon into the entire heat removal modelling until now [1,4]. In this work we model conduction together with radiation and convection, applying their non-linear parameters. Also we include the temperature-dependent emissivity for metals. Simulations yield non-uniform temperature distributions in the electronic device, and using these distributions we evaluate the non-linear complex heat transfer coefficient, comparing it to published and measured data.

2. The non-linear heat transfer model for conduction, radiation and convection

In this work we present a numerical model of heat removal for a hybrid long resistor placed on a ceramic substrate (Fig.1). The problem can be reduced to a one-dimensional modelling Because of $h_s/h_r>>1$ and $h_s/t_s<<1$, where h_r , h_s and t_s are the resistor height, substrate height and thickness of the substrate, respectively. This is because of the symmetrical heat transfer in the substrate in the vertical direction, neglecting the fact that the upper side of the substrate is cooled down worse, because of warm fluid moving up [5].

The model starts from the energy conservation law expressed by heat fluxes:

$$-S_{s}dy\frac{d\varphi_{s}}{dy} = 2S_{a}\left(\varphi_{r} + \varphi_{c}\right)$$
(1)

where: φ_s , $\varphi_n \varphi_c$ denote the heat fluxes that correspond to conduction in the substrate, radiation and convection from the source to the ambient. S_s and S_a denote the areas that the fluxes go through, as shown in Fig.2. The fluxes are described as follows:

$$\varphi_{x} = -\lambda_{x} \frac{dT}{dy}$$

$$\varphi_{c} = \alpha_{c} \left(T - T_{a}\right)$$

$$\varphi_{r} = \varepsilon \sigma \left(T^{4} - T_{a}^{4}\right)$$
(2)

where: α_c - convective heat transfer coefficient, ε - emissivity, σ - Boltzman constant, λ_s - substrate thermal conductivity and T_a - ambient temperature.

QIRT 96 - Eurotherm Series 50 - Edizioni ETS, Pisa 1997

In our approach we assume a non-linear convective heat transfer coefficient, defined by (3).

$$\alpha_c = \alpha_0 \left(\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta T_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$
(3)

where α_0 denote the transfer coefficient corresponding to temperature difference ΔT_o , e.g.: 20°C, $\Delta T=T-T_{a}$. Parameter *n* can vary in the range 4-10 [4-5]. In practice, n=10 denotes that transfer coefficient doubles when the temperature difference rises 10 times, what takes place for nonuniform temperature distribution on the substrate. The better cooling conditions are for n=4 (Law), but it is only valid for constant source temperature, and is very rarely met in practice. Moreover, n=4 has been derived from a simplified 1-D natural convection model, and has not been confirmed by the 2-D convection simulations [4].

Finally, the non-linear energy equation that has to be solved takes the following form:

$$t_{s}\lambda_{s}\frac{d^{2}T}{dy^{2}} = 2\varepsilon\sigma\left(T^{4} - T_{a}^{4}\right) + \frac{2\alpha_{0}}{\sqrt[n]{\Delta T_{0}}}\left(T - T_{a}\right)^{\frac{1+n}{n}}$$
(4)

where: t_s is the tickness of a substrate.

4

The boundary conditions for our problem are:

$$\frac{dT}{dy}\Big|_{y=0} = \frac{P_z}{2\lambda_s t_s} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dT}{dy}\Big|_{y=h_s} = 0 \text{ where: } P_z = P_z \left[\frac{W}{m}\right]$$
(5)

Equation (4) can be solved by Runge-Kutta numerical approach, yielding the temperature distribution on the substrate, and a number of additional data, such as the conjugate heat transfer coefficient for convection and radiation.

3. Simulation results for black and grey bodies

The level of the power delivered to the heat source has an influence on the maximum and mean temperature in the substrate. The relation T_{max} versus power is non-linear because of the non-linear coefficients presented above. In this work we found the influence of the power dissipated in the substrate on the temperature and the transfer coefficient.

The transfer coefficient α is defined in various ways, but for this work we propose the following definitions:

$$\alpha_{T_{\text{max}}} = \frac{P_z}{2h_s(T_{\text{max}} - T_a)} \qquad \alpha_{T_{\text{mean}}} = \frac{P_z}{2h_s(T_{\text{mean}} - T_a)}$$
(6)

The first simulations have been performed for black body $(\epsilon=1)$ and for n=4 (½ Law) for a convective heat transfer coefficient given by (3). The parameters of the ceramic substrate and the air are presumed to be independent on the temperature and are as follows: T_a=300K, λ_s =20W/Km, t_s=0.4mm, h_s=3cm. The parameters of the non-linear model of convection according to the ½ Law are: α_0 =7W/m², Δ T₀=20°C

The results presented in Fig.4-5 confirm the high contribution of radiation in the total heat dissipation, especially for $T_{max} > 450K$. Above this temperature the heat is more easily removed by radiation than convection.

Using the results of the simulation we can approximate by exponential functions both the power dissipated in the substrate and the total transfer coefficient versus temperature: $P_z = f(T_{max})$ and $\alpha = f(T_{max})$ as:

$$P_{z} = P_{0} \left(\frac{\Delta T_{max}}{\Delta T_{0}}\right)^{m} \qquad P_{z} = P_{0} \left(\frac{\Delta T_{mean}}{\Delta T_{0}}\right)^{m} \qquad \alpha_{max} = \alpha_{0} \left(\frac{\Delta T_{max}}{\Delta T_{0}}\right)^{m} \qquad \alpha_{mean} = \alpha_{0} \left(\frac{\Delta T_{mean}}{\Delta T_{0}}\right)^{m} \quad (7)$$

The results for black body (ε =1) using the $\frac{1}{4}$ Law for convection model are in Table 1.

~~~	sie i i z i (i max) und az i (i max) approximation parametere								
		Convection		Radiation		Convection +Radiation			
		Ρ₀/α₀	m	P ₀ /α ₀	m.	$P_0/\alpha_0$	m		
	$P_z(T_{max})$	7.41	1.20	4.68	1.44	11.98	1.23		
	$P_z(T_{mean})$	8.42	1.25	4.76	1.58	15.21	1.30		
	α _{max}	6.34	0.21	4.50	0.43	10.86	0.23		
1	α _{mean}	6.85	0.26	4.64	0.49	12.87	0.27		

Table 1.  $P_z = f(T_{max})$  and  $\alpha_z = f(T_{max})$  approximation parameters

From Table 1, we can come to some general conclusions:

- Radiation and convection remove a comparable amount of heat in the temperature range accepted in microelectronics (e.g.: below 200°C)
- The functions  $P_z = f(T_{max})$  and  $\alpha = f(T_{max})$  are non-linear, and as far as the power dissipated by convection and radiation are comparable, radiation-convection and convection models give very similar variation of the  $T_{max}$  and  $\alpha$  over temperature (parameter *m* does not change very much). However the levels of energy dissipated are quite different
- From T_{max}=150°C radiation dominates over convection

As we have already found, the convection coefficient does not increase so fast as the  $\frac{1}{Law}$  predicts, especially for heat sources with non-uniform temperature distribution [4]. The better approximation for transfer heat coefficient is obtained for  $\frac{1}{n=0.1-0.17}$  (3).

The next simulations were performed for grey bodies and for 1/n=0.125. Now the parameters of the non-linear model of convection are:  $\alpha_0=5.5$ W/m² for  $\nabla T_0=20$ °C [4-5].

TUDIC L. 1 7 I( I may I I GIUY DOUICO	Table	2.1	P,=f(	T _{mar} )	for	gray	bodies
---------------------------------------	-------	-----	-------	--------------------	-----	------	--------

T _{max} [K]							
P _z [W/m.]	ε=0	ε=0.2	ε=0.4	ε=0.6	ε=0.8	ε=1	
25	398	375	363	354	348	343	
50	482	434	412	397	387	379	
75	563	486	454	434	420	410	
100	640	532	492	467	451	438	
125	716	573	526	498	478	464	
150	790	611	557	525	504	488	

The general conclusion drawn from the simulations for grey bodies is that,

• The decay of  $T_{max}$  is the largest (70%) for  $\varepsilon$ =0-0.4, which is the case in the practice (*Table 2*, *Fig.5*).

The approximation  $P_z \sim \Delta T^m_{Tmax}$  for e.g.  $\varepsilon = 0.4$  gives unexpected result - factor *m* is quite high indicating the high significance of radiation in heat removal.

$$P_{z} = P_{0} \left( \frac{\Delta T_{\max}}{\Delta T_{0}} \right)^{m} \text{ where: } \begin{cases} P_{0} = 5.11, m = 1.32 \text{ for} \varepsilon = 0.4 \\ P_{0} = 8.72, m = 1.27 \text{ for} \varepsilon = 1.0 \end{cases}$$
(8)

The materials used in microelectronics e.g.: metals was taken into consideration for modelling, as well. The total, normal emissivity  $\varepsilon_{r,n}$  for metals strongly depends upon the temperature, giving the next nonlinear phenomenon included in this work [1,2,3].

$$\varepsilon_{T,n} = 0.576\sqrt{\rho(T)T} - 0.124\rho(T)T$$

$$\rho(T) = \rho_{273} \frac{T}{273}$$
(9)

where  $\rho(T)$  denotes the electrical resistivity of a metal. Applying the total emissivity of metal as  $\varepsilon_T \approx 1.2\varepsilon_{T,n}$  [2], the energy equation (4) can be extended using (9), giving the results presented in *Fig.* 6 and *Table* 3.

T _{max} [K]							
P _z [W/m.]	Al.	Fe	Fe-42Ni*	ε=0			
25	394	391	383	398			
50	472	466	477	482			
75	543	531	501	563			
100	607	588	547	640			

Table 3.  $P_z = f(T_{max})$  for metals

### 5. Experiments

We measured the temperature distribution on a ceramic substrate with a long resistor on it (*Fig. 7a*), using thermographic system TVS4000-HUGHES, supported with a computer interface for capturing the images and powerful software running under WIN'95. For the measurement we used a hybrid resistors circuit, placed nearly in the middle of the substrate as shown in Fig. 7. Both sides of the substrate were covered by thin coating with emissivity  $\varepsilon \approx 0.9$ . The measurements were very close to the modelling, as presented in (10).

$$P_{z} = P_{0} \left( \frac{\Delta T_{\text{max}}}{\Delta T_{0}} \right)^{m}$$
 where:  $P_{0}$ =0.69, m=1.22 (10)

From simulations we obtained m=1.26-1.27 for  $\varepsilon=0.8-1$ , while the thermography measurements gave m=1.22.

### 6. Conclusions

The simulations and the experiments presented in this paper yield with some remarkable conclusions. First of all, the radiation and convection remove a comparable amount of heat in the temperature range of working microelectronics devices (e.g.: below 200°C).  $P_z=f(T_{max})$  and  $\alpha=f(T_{max})$  are non-linear. For comparable power dissipated by convection and radiation, the radiation-convection and the convection models give a similar variation of the  $T_{max}$  and  $\alpha$  over the used temperature range (*m* parameter does not change very much).  $T_{max}=150^{\circ}C$  is the point where radiation begins to dominate over the convection for the heat sources with non-uniform temperature distribution. Low emissivity reduces the radiative energy dissipated significantly, although the decay of  $T_{max}$  is at largest (70%) for  $\varepsilon=0.0.4$ . We got a very good agreement between the thermography measurements and the model results.

### 7. Acknowledgments

1

The authors thank Prof. G. De Mey from the University of Gent (ELIS), Belgium for his helpful scientific suggestions and inspirations used in this work.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Siegel (R.) and Howell (J).- *Thermal radiation heat transfer*. New York, Hemisphere Publishing Corp, 1989.

[2] Burakowski (T.), Giziński (J.) and Sala (A.). - *Promienniki podczerwieni*, Warsaw, WNT, 1970 [in Polish].

[3] Sala (A.) - Radiacyjna wymiana ciepła. Warsaw, WNT, 1982 [in Polish]

[4] Więcek (B.), De Mey (G.). Evaluation of of heat dissipation by convection for VLSI circuits. Proc. TERMINIC'96 Conf., Budapest, Sept.25-27,1996, p143-148

[5] Więcek (B.). Quantitative approach into the heat transfer by convection in microelectronics with thermography measurements. Proc. QIRT'96. Stuttgart, Sept. 2-5, 1996











Fig. 3. T_{max} versus power

Å







Fig. 5.  $T_{max}=f(\varepsilon)$  for gray bodies

4



Fig. 6.  $T_{max}=f(P_z)$  for metals compared to the convective heat dissipation only ( $\varepsilon$ =0)





63